



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,746	06/29/2001	Ronald J. Pettis	7767-173562	4733
20583	7590	07/31/2006	EXAMINER	
JONES DAY 222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017			BOUCHELLE, LAURA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/893,746

Applicant(s)

PETTIS ET AL.

Examiner

Laura A. Bouchelle

Art Unit

3763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 97 and 98 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 65, 67-72, 74-77, 79-82, 85-88, 90-93, 96, 99, 101-106, 108, 109, 111-116 and 118 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/06.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 65,67-72,74-77,79-82,85-88,90-93,96-99,101-106,108,109,111-116 and 118.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 65, 67-71, 74-77, 79-81, 85-88, 90-92, 96, 99, 101-105, 108, 109, 111-115, 118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross (US 5848991) in view of D'Antonio et al (US 60567116). Gross discloses a method of delivering drugs, including heparin, intradermally (Col. 3, lines 40-41) using a single needle with an outlet at a depth of 250 mm - 2mm in a controlled manner based on needle diameter (Col. 4, lines 10-35). Gross discloses that the delivery can be infusion, pulsatile, or intermittent doses (Col. 4, lines 49-53) and that the dose rate can be varied as per the individual or drug type delivered needs (Col. 4, lines 55-57; Col. 5, lines 26-30; Col. 8, lines 13-15). Upon delivering medication to the intradermal compartment, the physiology of this location causes the improved systemic absorption as compared to bolus subcutaneous injections (Col. 3, lines 38-44). Gross does not explicitly state that the delivery is by bolus administration, however, in view of the disclosure in Gross that delivery rates can be varied according to the patient's needs (see cite above) it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to deliver the disclosed drugs via bolus administration. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that drug delivery can be bolus

administration or infusion and that various drugs and patient conditions suggest different rates. In view of the different delivery rates that the prior art device can perform, one of ordinary skill would find obvious that the Gross disclosure, taken as a whole, suggests bolus administration as well as infusion rates.

3. Gross does not disclose that the intradermal delivery achieves improved systemic absorption relative to absorption upon injecting subcutaneously. D'Antonio (Col. 3, lines 27-28; Col. 29, lines 3-26) suggest that medication delivered intradermally results in improved systemic absorption. D'Antonio teaches ID injections for growth hormones, vaccines, sera, vitamins, and nutrients. D'Antonio discloses that intradermal injection testing shows a better absorption than subcutaneous injection as evidenced by tests showing that ID is more potent than subcutaneous injections. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of D'Antonio in the method of Gross in order to achieve a therapeutic result using less drugs. The cost savings and ability to effectively deliver scarce drugs to a larger number of people would motivate one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Gross with the teachings of D'Antonio.

4. The use of nanoparticles are considered as equivalent to the disclosed use of microparticles in the prior art, and obvious to give improved absorption, particularly in consideration that the nanoparticles are even smaller than the microparticles. Additionally, in view of the large number and classes of drugs listed by Gross for delivery by the disclosed method, the use of dopamine receptor agonist would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 3763

in the art because it is recognized as another similarly administered drug, intradermally or subcutaneously (See Gross, Col. 6, line 41 - Col. 7, line 20). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the prior art method to additional drugs in view of the teachings of broad applicability to different drugs.

5. Claims 72, 82, 93, 106, and 116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross in view of D'Antonio as applied to claims 71, 77, 87, 105, or 115 above, and further in view of Ganderton et al. (US 3,814,097). Gross discloses the claimed method except for using an array of needles. Ganderton discloses injecting a substance through multiple needles (Col. 1, lines 9-40; fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Ganderton in the method of Gross and D'Antonio in order to facilitate the distribution of larger quantities of delivered drug to a patient.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura A Bouchelle
Examiner
Art Unit 3763

LAB



NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700